# Dissatisfaction with general health services is negatively associated with uptake of HIV testing among men in Malawi

Marguerite Thorp<sup>1</sup>, Kelvin Balakasi<sup>2</sup>, Shaukat Khan<sup>3</sup>, Christian Stillson<sup>3</sup>, Joep J. van Oosterhout<sup>2</sup>, Brooke E. Nichols<sup>4</sup>, Morna Cornell<sup>5</sup>, Kathryn Dovel<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of California Los Angeles, David Geffen School of Medicine, USA; <sup>2</sup>Partners in Hope, Lilongwe, Malawi; <sup>2</sup>Clinton Health Access Initiative, Washington, DC, USA; <sup>4</sup>FIND: The Global Alliance for Diagnostics, Geneva, Switzerland; <sup>5</sup>University of Cape Town, Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Research, Cape Town, South Africa

#### Objective

- 1. Understand factors affecting men's satisfaction with general health services, including sociodemographic, visit-related, and quality-associated factors
- 2. Assess the impact of previous negative experiences on future health service utilization, especially HIV testing

#### Background

- · Across sub-Saharan Africa, men are less likely to know their HIV status, initiate treatment later, and have higher HIV-related morbidity and mortality than women<sup>1,2</sup>
- This disparity is important for men's health and their partners' risk of HIV infection
- Satisfaction with previous healthcare experience matters for future care-seeking:
  - Women's satisfaction affects future care choices3
  - Men's satisfaction with HIV services can affect future use of HIV services<sup>4</sup>
- · But little is known about impact of general health service experience on HIV testing

#### Methods

- 2019 community-representative survey of men in Malawi included module on previous health care experiences
- WNR experiences: negative health service experiences defined as men reporting they "would not recommend" a facility to family or friends
- To understand general satisfaction:
  - Association between satisfaction and men's sociodemographic characteristics
  - · Association between satisfaction and facility-level (private, public, mission) or visitrelated characteristics (attending as clients or caregivers; primary service received)
  - Associations between overall satisfaction and specific quality characteristics
- To understand impact of dissatisfaction on health service utilization:
  - Univariable and multivariable logistic regressions to test association between WNR experiences 12-24 months prior to survey and HIV testing in 12 months prior to

#### Results

- Surveyed 1,098 men eligible for HIV testing in 12 months prior to the survey
- 102 men (9%) reported at least one WNR visit in preceding 24 months (Table 1)
- · No significant differences in sociodemographic characteristics, gender norm beliefs, and HIV stigma beliefs between men who did and did not report a WNR visit

34 821 (75%) 4.96 1.89 355 (32%) 226 (21%)	34 742 (74%) 4.93 1.89 313 (31%)	34 79 (77%) 5.22 1.89 42 (41%)	0.35 0.08 0.35 0.95 0.08
821 (75%) 4.96 1.89 355 (32%)	742 (74%) 4.93	79 (77%) 5.22 1.89	0.08 0.35 0.95
4.96 1.89 355 (32%)	4.93 1.89	5.22 1.89	0.35
1.89 355 (32%)	1.89	1.89	0.95
355 (32%)			
355 (32%)			
	313 (31%)	42 (41%)	0.00
226 (21%)		12 (1170)	0.08
220 (2170)	205 (21%)	21 (21%)	0.97
1.46	1.45	1.54	0.27
924 (84%)	843 (85%)	81 (79%)	0.17
266 (24%)	247 (25%)	19 (19%)	0.17
225 (20%)	208 (21%)	17 (17%)	0.32
306 (28%)	285 (29%)	21 (21%)	0.09
308 (28%)	285 (29%)	23 (23%)	0.19
	924 (84%) 266 (24%) 225 (20%) 306 (28%) 308 (28%)	924 (84%) 843 (85%)  266 (24%) 247 (25%) 225 (20%) 208 (21%) 306 (28%) 285 (29%) 308 (28%) 285 (29%)	924 (84%) 843 (85%) 81 (79%)  266 (24%) 247 (25%) 19 (19%) 225 (20%) 208 (21%) 17 (17%) 306 (28%) 285 (29%) 21 (21%)

· Rates of WNR visits varied by facility type (20% at private vs. 4% at government and mission) and service (6% at OPD vs. 1% for HIV-related and maternal/child health)

	All visits Acceptable visit WNR visit			
	n=2999	n=2852 (95%)	n=147 (5%)	p-value
Facility type				< 0.001
Public (government)	2366	2263 (96%)	103 (4%)	
Mission or NGO	498	480 (96%)	18 (4%)	
Private	132	106 (80%)	26 (20%)	
Doesn't remember	3	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	
Service received				< 0.001
OPD (injury or illness)	2465	2328 (94%)	137 (6%)	
HIV-related †	314	310 (99%)	4 (1%)	
Maternal/child health ††	143	142 (99%)	1 (1%)	
Dentist	34	31 (91%)	3 (9%)	
Family planning	6	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	
Other or missing	37	36 (97%)	1 (3%)	
Primary service recipient				0.13
Self	1832	1751 (96%)	81 (4%)	
Other (caregiver)	1167	1101 (94%)	66 (6%)	

## Conclusions

· Previous negative experiences with general health services are significantly associated with lower rates of HIV testing among men in Malawi

Note: percentages are given by row to reflect proportion of visits reported as WNR

† Includes antenatal, delivery, post-natal, and under-5 visits

- Clients do not approach HIV services in a vacuum: quality of general services matters for uptake of HIV services
- Though overall negative experiences were rare, specific problems associated with negative experiences (e.g. wait time and stockouts) were common

· WNR visits more likely to have problems with cost, cleanliness, wait times, and medicine availability (stockouts) than acceptable visits (Table 3)

Table 3. Crude and adjusted association between specific facility problems and "Would Not Recommend" (WNR)						
	Proportion of all visits (n=1018)	Prop. of acceptable visits (n=957)	Prop. of WNR visits (n=61)	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)	
Major problem with						
Cost	88 (9%)	68 (7%)	20 (33%)	6.37 (3.54-11.49) **	5.56 (2.96-10.44) **	
Cleanliness	46 (5%)	33 (3%)	13 (21%)	7.58 (3.75-15.34) **	4.17 (1.87-9.33) **	
Wait time	217 (21%)	186 (19%)	31 (51%)	4.28 (2.53-7.25) **	2.62 (1.44-4.79) **	
Medicine availability	145 (14%)	121 (13%)	24 (39%)	4.48 (2.59-7.75) **	2.72 (1.47-5.04) **	
Provider treatment	57 (6%)	46 (5%)	11 (18%)	4.36 (2.13-8.92) **	1.36 (0.57-3.29)	
Days of service	54 (5%)	45 (5%)	9 (15%)	3.51 (1.62-7.56) **	1.15 (0.43-3.09)	
Hours of service	98 (10%)	84 (9%)	14 (23%)	3.09 (1.64-5.86) **	1.14 (0.51-2.58)	
Privacy of exam	16 (2%)	13 (1%)	3 (5%)	3.75 (1.04-13.55) **	0.76 (0.14-4.15)	
Privacy of discussion	15 (1%)	13 (1%)	2 (3%)	2.46 (0.54-11.16)		
Ability to discuss concerns	46 (5%)	41 (4%)	5 (8%)	1.99 (0.76-5.25)		

- Men reporting WNR visits 12 to 24 months ago were 59% less likely to get an HIV test in the last 12 months (Table 4)
- · HIV testing was also independently associated with being married, having savings and more education, and having more sexual partners

	Odds ratio	Adjusted odds ratio
Healthcare experiences at facilities 12-24mo ago		
Acceptable visit	1	1
WNR visit	0.42 (0.19-0.97) **	0.41 (0.17-0.96) **
No visit 12-24 months ago	0.57 (0.43-0.76) ***	0.55 (0.41-0.75) ***
Incomplete data (4 visits in last 12 months so no	3.40 (2.37-4.87) ***	3.24 (2.23-4.69) ***
data on visits 12-24 months ago)		, ,
Sociodemographic characteristics		
Age (years) †	1.01 (1.00-1.02)	0.99 (0.98-1.00)
Married (monogamous or polygamous)	1.93 (1.46-2.56) ***	1.88 (1.26-2.83) ***
Economic Indicators		
Assets (index created by PCA)	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	
Has savings	1.60 (1.24-2.07) ***	1.38 (1.04-1.82) **
Attended secondary school	1.49 (1.11-2.00) ***	1.31 (0.94-1.82)
Health / HIV risk factors		
Number of sexual partners (last 12 months)	1.16 (1.06-1.28) ***	1.13 (1.02-1.24) **
Good or very good health (vs. poor or very poor)	0.80 (0.58-1.10)	
Harmful gender norm beliefs (n in top 20%, %)		
Violence scale	1.04 (0.79-1.37)	
Dominance scale	1.25 (0.94-1.69)	
Women's roles scale	1.20 (0.92-1.56)	
Decision-making scale	1.29 (0.99-1.68)	
HIV stigma beliefs (n in top 20%, %)		
High HIV-related stigma	0.65 (0.49-0.87) ***	0.83 (0.60-1.17)

### Funding & References

- This research was funded by the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (grant #300380) and the NIH (K01-TW011484-01, T32MH080634, UCLA CFAR grant Al028697, and UCGHI GloCal Fellowship
- D43TW009343). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the views of FCDO or NH. We also thank the individuals who participated in this research and the staff at Partners in Hope for their support of the project.
- UNAIDS, Blind spot: reaching out to men and boys, Addressing a blind spot in the response to HIV, UNAIDS Geneva, Switzerland; 2017.
- Oxler M, Cornell M, Ford N, Hilderbrand K, Goemaere E, Boulle A. Population-wide differentials in HIV service access and outcomes in the Western Cape for men as compared to women, South Africa: 2008 to 2018: a cohort analysis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):e25530. Epub 2020/66/27. doi: 10.1002/jar2.25530.
- KRIM ME, Rocken PC, Mhartuk G, Paezkowski MM, Galea S. Community and health system factors associated with facility delivery in rural Tanzania: a multilevel analysis. Health Policy. 2010;97(2-3):209-16.

  Mak J, Mayhew SH, von Maercker A, IRT, Colombini M. Men's use of sexual health and HIV services in Swaziland: a mixed methods study. Sexual Health. 2016;13(3):265-74.

















<sup>\*</sup> p<0.10; \*\* p<0.05; \*\*\* p<0.01